NO.	DATE	ENQUIRY	RESPONSE FROM TEAM	
2.	12 Aug 2022	Due to the covid-19 pandemic, the control of country's border and the country's foreign labour policy, there is a shortage of construction workers in our country. These have caused serious work progress delays for some of the construction sites.  The contractors are now writing in to request for the Extension Of Time, using clause 23.8(a) Force Majeure in the PAM form of Contract 2018 as the reason given. We found no official support from the related Ministry and Authority but only some news reported in the press.  At the same time, I am advising the Employer to write to KPKT if extension of the date of vacant procession in the SPA could be granted by them giving the same reason that there is a shortage of construction labour in the country.  I would like to seek PAM's advice on the above matter.	<ul> <li>outstanding defects within the Schedule of Defects if the procedure leading up to the issuance of the CMGD has been followed or complied with and if the CMGD may be issued. As with the issuance of any certificate under the Contract, the decision of such issuance lies solely with the Architect and should be based on his independent and professional judgement and not on either instructions or requests from the Employer.</li> <li>e. The above set-off has to be carried out in accordance with the procedure as laid out under clause 30.4, i.e.; details are required to be provided to the Contractor with the Contractor also being provided with an opportunity to dispute the amounts which are to be set-off.</li> <li>6. In the absence of any further information, all we can advise at this stage, is for you to review the terms of the settlement agreement and check on whether this agreement has been formalized/executed before deciding on whether the terms of the Contract have been fulfilled and if the CMGD can indeed, be issued.</li> <li>We refer to your enquiry dated 12 August 2022 but based on the limited information we have received; we are unsure about what specific advice you require. Nevertheless, we shall still provide our feedback regarding the subject matter raised in the enquiry -</li> <li>Application of Extension of Time (EOT) – PAM Contract 2018</li> <li>1. We would advise that you refer to Article 7 of the PAM Form for the definition of Force Majeure and remind that the contractor shall submit his application strictly in accordance to Clause 23.1, with reference to the Relevant Events under Clause 23.8 and substantiate with relevant, supporting information.</li> <li>2. We would also advise you to evaluate the application of EOT fully in accordance with Clause 23.0 and any other relevant provisions in your Contract.</li> </ul>	

NO.	DATE	ENQUIRY	RESPONSE FROM TEAM
			Extension of Time for Vacant Possession (VP)  3. We are unsure about your enquiry in relation to Contractor's application of EOT; moreover, application of EOT for VP is the developer's prerogative and not under Architect's purview; we are also unable to comment further as we are not in a position to speak on behalf of the Ministry of Housing, Local Government and Urban Well-being. Nevertheless, please be reminded that any assessment of EOT by the Architect under the Building Contract should be carried out independently from any application a developer may make to the above Ministry for an extension to the date of delivery of vacant possession.  We hope the above has been of assistance to you.
3.	12 May 2022	<ul> <li>Dear Sir/Mdm, Need your kind assistance to further understand PAM Contract guidelines on the following:</li> <li>PAM (Paragraph 11.2) states 'pending the valuation of the Variations, the Contractor shall carry out with due diligence and expedition all Variations so instructed'.  Q: Does this mean that per PAM, can the Project Manager /QS allow the Contractor to proceed with the variation in renovation work without first agreeing on the valuation/cost?</li> <li>PAM (11.6(c)) states 'where work is not of a similar character to work as set out in the contract documents, the valuation shall be at fair market rates and prices determined by QS'.  Q: Does this imply that there is no necessity to agree on the valuation/cost upfront given that it will eventually be assessed by QS or Consultant at fair market rate and prices during the finalization of the account?</li> </ul>	We refer to your e-mail dated 12 May 2022 and would write in reply as follows:  a. As far as we are aware, there are no "PAM Contract guidelines" as mentioned in your e-mail and we shall assume that you are referring to the Conditions of Contract for the PAM Contract. There is also no mention as to which version of the PAM Form you are referring to but as you refer to a "QS", we shall further assume that you are referring to the PAM 2006 or 2018 Contract (With Quantities).  b. Regarding your first query, there are NO provisions for "project managers" or "Quantity Surveyors" to either issue instructions or "allow the Contractor to proceed with the variation" in any of the PAM Contracts; only the Architect is empowered to issue instructions for variations.  Although it may be preferable to agree on the costs of any variation as early as possible, there are NO requirements for such an agreement to be in place before the execution of such variations. Execution of such variations should primarily be dependent on the circumstances on site and the Contractor's Works Programme.  c. As for your last query, our reply would be similar to that given to the first one. We are unsure though as to what is meant by "the finalization of the account" and hope

NO.	DATE	ENQUIRY	RESPONSE FROM TEAM
			that you do not mean the preparation of the Final Account (in accordance with Clause 30.10 of the PAM Contract).  All variations should be valued in a timely manner in order for any amounts arising from the variation, to be included in any Interim certificate which may become due to the Contractor. Please further note that under Clause 11.5 of the PAM 2018 Contract, there is a specific time frame for the submission of details by the Contractor, followed by the valuation of the variation by the Quantity Surveyor with this time frame commencing upon completion of the variation.  Should you have any other queries regarding the PAM Contract, we would advise that you contact your Architect.
4.	5 April 2022	Dear Sir, Kindly seek direction on how a Contractor could address a	Dear Sir, We refer to your query as received on 5 April 2022.
		grievance with a Project Architect, which we are of the view has not and continues to not be acting professionally as per the Codes and Conduct expected of this professional body.  Please let us share a brief of our Project and the rationale of our coming to conclude the likelihood of the said esteemed Architect Firm being seen not having conducted himself professionally.	We note your reference to Clauses 30.10, 30.12 and 30.14 of the PAM Contract 2006 (With Quantities) which outline the timeline, procedures and documents required, pertaining to the Final Account, Conclusiveness of the Final Account and Issuance of the Final Certificate. Nevertheless, as there are no details informing us on either your grievance or the conduct of the Architect in relation to the relevant Clauses mentioned, we have difficulty in advising you accordingly.
		Provide below details on Certifications duly issued with the requisite authentication.  S Contract Compliance Date of Authentication N Condition Precedent to Warrant Issuance of Final Certificate	If either of the contractual parties (i.e. the Employer or Contractor) should feel aggrieved as a result of the Architect's actions, we would normally advise that the aggrieved party should first approach the Architect to review and discuss the cause of such grief. If after such discussion, there is still a disagreement, either of the contractual parties may give notice that there is a dispute, and they may make use of the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Clauses stipulated in the PAM Contract
		1 Certificate of Practical 18th. Mar 2019 Completion (CPC)	2006 (Clauses 34 and 35) to resolve this dispute. We are of the opinion that wherever possible, it is always advisable to negotiate a resolution of any dispute.

NO.	NO. DATE ENQUIRY		IRY	RESPONSE FROM TEAM
	23 March 2022	2 Interim Certificate of Payment (ICP) No. 14 - Release of 1st. Moiety 3 Certificate of Making Good Defects (CMGD) 4 ICP No. 15 - Release of 2nd. Moiety 5 Statement of Final Account 5a Consultant Quantity Surveyor 5b Contractor 5c Architect  Our grievance towards the SContract 2006 (with Quantitie Clause 30 - Certification and 30.10, 30.12 and 30.14.  We are in the final stages of administered under the PAM (involves 4 NSCs (Fire serv mechanical ventilation) appoint Subcontract Form 2006 as we part of the site was subject to flevel mainly due to the OSD pat the time.  The floodwaters damaged basement, several doors were making good of wall surfaces.	s) shall be strictly towards Payment and Sub-Clause  f construction for a project Contract 2006. The contract rices, plumbing, electrical, ited according to the PAM II. In December last year, a lood damage to a basement umps not being operational  M&E equipment in the	Lastly, you may also address your grievance by taking legal action against the architect and if this is indeed your intended course of action, we would suggest that you seek legal advice before taking any further action.  We hope that this is helpful.  We refer to your email entitled 'Contract Administration' dated 23 March 2022  Kindly note the following: We presume the project is still under construction with the Certificate of Practical Completion yet to be issued.  Question 1:  It is our opinion that the party responsible for arranging, purchasing and maintenance of the relevant insurance policy should also be the principal party responsible for the

NO.	DATE	ENQUIRY	RESPONSE FROM TEAM
		Question 1  The insurance policy has an excess amount, say RM20,000. The contractor has proposed to split the cost of the excess amount proportionally between the NSCs affected and himself. As contract administrator, what should the advice be on this matter with regards to who should pay the excess amount, with regard to Clause 20.A.4?  Question 2  A recurrence of flooding occurred after several months with a lesser degree of damage. This time, the OSD pumps were operational but one of the pumps caused the (temporary) electricity supply to trip. The contractor claims it is due to the fact that the site has no permanent power supply (due to delays by TNB) and therefore could not foresee the OSD pump failure. The NSCs contend that they should not be financially responsible for both the first and the second occurrence. Who should be responsible for the costs incurred relating to the repairs?	We note though that Clause 20.A.4 of the PAM Form (as well as Clause 20.4 of the PAM Sub-Contract 2006) makes no mention of the sharing of the excess among any of the affected parties. Hence, we are also of the opinion that the Architect has no contractual basis to direct for the sharing of the excess amongst any other affected parties.  Nevertheless, the Contractor may take the initiative to negotiate with his sub-contractors for such a sharing of the excess but such an endeavour shall be solely his responsibility.  Question 2:  Under the PAM Form of Contract, there is only one party which is recognised as being responsible for the execution and completion of the Works (including any repair works which may be required during construction), which is the Contractor. Although it is in the Architect's interest to ascertain the cause of any faults or damage which may occur during construction, it is not within his purview to determine which of the participating parties (such as the various sub-contractors) should bear the responsibility for the rectification of such faults or damage as the task of managing the site and various sub-contractors is wholly the Contractor's responsibility. Under the above circumstances, we would advise that any decision on the bearing of such costs be left with the Contractor.
			We hope the above has been of assistance.
	7 March 2022	Dear PAM, I am M&E Consultant Engineer we had a project using PAM sub-contract in M&E Nominated Sub-Contract work.  We want to clarify any clause in PAM Sub-Contract for a project, due to the contractor keeps delaying the work to completion after the promised date. The project had a delay in completion and after the completion, the system always has issue occur such as the lift always happening trapping	We refer to your email entitled "PAM sub-contract -queries' dated 7 March 2022 and note that we have difficulty understanding your query. As there was very little detail of your building contract provided, we shall, for convenience, base our reply on the PAM Contract 2018 / PAM sub-contract 2018 which is commonly used for private projects in Malaysia:

NO.	DATE	ENQUIRY	RESPONSE FROM TEAM
NO.	DATE	the passenger in the lift and the poor installation workmanship.  Do we have the right to hold or omit their payment for the installation work portion from their contract since the works are not up to the satisfaction of the owner?	1. Firstly, the PAM Sub-contract 2018 is to be used only for Nominated Sub-Contracts where the main Building Contract between the "Employer" and "Contractor" is based on the PAM Contract 2018.  The appointment of such a "Nominated Sub-Contractor" (NSC) has to be carried out in accordance with clause 27.2 of the main Building Contract with the Nominated Sub-Contract being an agreement entered into by the "Contractor" and "NSC" and in accordance with clause 27.10 of the Building Contract, there shall be no privity of contract between the Employer and the NSC.  Kindly note that the terms "Employer, Contractor" and "Nominated Sub-Contractor" are clearly defined under Article 7 of the PAM Contract 2018 and as such, we have difficulty understanding your query regarding the "contractor's" delay/performance relative to the "PAM Sub-Contract" as in the PAM Sub-contract 2018, the main burden of the proper and timely execution of work falls on the NSC rather than the Contractor. We can confirm that there are clauses in the PAM Sub-contract 2018 detailing the NSC's obligations and the Contractor's right in the event of underperformance or late completion but in the absence of any details from yourself, we have difficulty in advising you of the specific clauses which you may refer to. We would advise that you review the PAM Sub-Contract 2018 to determine which of the clauses may be of relevance to you.
			<ol> <li>Based on what we can gather from your query, we assume that the relevant work has since been practically completed. If there are defects now arising, we would suggest that you refer to clauses 17.5 to 17.8 of the PAM Sub-Contract 2018.</li> <li>Clause 17.6 of the PAM Sub-Contract 2018 already provides that the Contractor (and not the Employer or Architect) may at any time during the defect</li> </ol>
			liability period, issue written instructions requiring any critical defects which need urgent rectification, to be made good within a reasonable time specified by the Contractor at the NSC's cost. If the NSC fails to attend to such defects within the time specified by the Contractor, the Contractor may employ and pay another person

NO.	DATE	ENQUIRY	RESPONSE FROM TEAM
			to rectify such defects and all costs incurred shall be set off by the Contractor (in accordance with clause 26.13 of the sub-contract)
			4. Based on the above, kindly note that the Employer is not empowered to withhold or omit any payment for the installation of such work, due to the NSC.
			5. We strongly advise that you discuss the above matter with the Architect (as the contract administrator), to review the possible courses of action to remedy any of your issues. As some of your recurring issues concern health and safety, these may have to be looked at urgently and if the "poor installation workmanship" is patently obvious, you may want to check with the relevant Consultant in charge to ascertain the basis upon which completion was certified.